

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/665,018	TAYLOR ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carl Colin	2136

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Carl Colin.

(3) _____.

(2) Steven Wigmore.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 8, 11, and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Mi et al, 6,418,472

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Carl Colin

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative, Steven Wigmore, and suggested to further amend the independent claims by including the claimed limitation of claim 4 and the "if ...false" condition in the claimed language in order to place the claims in better form for allowance. Applicant's Representative agreed to the Examiner's suggestion and authorized the Examiner to amend the claims by Examiner's Amendment in an E-mail correspondence received by Examiner on 9/29/2006 (see attached).